QANUN № 02 (376), 2026, s. 213-219

İslamov Samad Mazahir,
Master's student, Faculty of Law, Baku State University
E-mail: sdw210346@gmail.com

UDC 343.326.8:341
DOI: https://doi.org/10.30546/2218-9130.043.2026.634

TRANSNATIONAL ASPECTS OF TERRORISM INVESTIGATIONS: CHALLENGES, STRATEGIES, AND LEGAL FRAMEWORKS

Açar sözlər: *terror cinayətləri, transmilli istintaq, məlumat mübadiləsi, sübut toplama, hüquqi çərçivə, beynəlxalq əməkdaşlıq, təhlükəsizlik.*

Ключевые слова: *расследование террористических актов, транснациональные дела, обмен информацией, доступ к доказательствам, правовые рамки, международное сотрудничество, безопасность.*

Keywords: *terrorism investigation, transnational cases, information sharing, evidence access, legal frameworks, international cooperation, security.*

Introduction

Terrorism is still affecting the security situation of many societies and it is commonly transcending the borders of an individual state. As these acts spread to different parts of the world, the need to understand how countries respond to these acts becomes more important. Investigators must now cope with situations where people, ideas and resource move freely from one country to another. This movement adds new problems, as it becomes more difficult to track suspects or obtain good information within an area. For this reason, the investigation of terrorism is no longer the responsibility of a single country but that of all countries working together and communicating effectively [1, p. 112].

The increasing cross-border character of terrorism is also having an impact on the planning and organization by investigators. They will have to be prepared to follow clues that lead to more than one location, and they will have to communicate with foreign partners that may have different rules, tools or priorities. These differences can cause delays in the process and a lack of clarity on how to behave in certain circumstances. At the same time, investigators have the behavioral task to protect the rights of individuals as well as to ensure public safety, which makes the process even more delicate. Because of these factors, comprehending the challenges that arise during cross-border investigations, is helpful in understanding why some cases take more time and energy than others.

Another important point is the need of countries to build common approaches that support faster and more effective investigations. When states share information, experience, and practical methods, they become better prepared to understand how terrorist groups operate across the borders. This shared understanding gives them the ability to be more responsive faster and with more clarity. However, cooperation between countries is not always going to be easy, as the legal rules and security expectations can vary widely. Exploring these differences helps to find out realistic strategies with which the co-operation becomes more smooth and useful. By investigating these issues, we are able to form a better image of how transnational investigations are functioning and what steps can be taken to help improve them in the future.

Analysis

Understanding the role of terrorism is researched across borders, it is important to pay close attention to a few elements that make the entire process. One of the first issues that comes to light is the challenge that investigators have when information, suspects, and actions span across different regions [2, p. 58]. These difficulties can be the result of having little access to reliable data and/or slow communication between states plus differences between how each country records and shares information with each other. Such conditions mean that it is more difficult to get a clear picture of what is happening and decisions may be delayed. As a result, investigators must often work under conditions of pressure, knowing that tiny gaps in information may have an effect on the entire case. These challenges illustrate both the importance of having strong support systems for cross-border investigations, and the importance of having more than just simple methods when complexity arises.

Alongside these difficulties, countries continue to be on the lookout for sensible strategies that will make the procedure of investigation more arranged and more effective [3, p. 19]. Some of these strategies are based on constant communication flow, and others on building teams with the ability to adapt quickly to new situations. In many cases the success of any investigation depends on the degree of understanding between the different institutions and how easily they can exchange information of significance. When strategies are clear and flexible, investigators are able to more rapidly react, more confidently follow the trails of any clues and reduce the risk of one losing any significant details. These approaches assist in creating a working environment wherein cooperation becomes simpler and the investigation by all means get stronger direction.

Another important aspect of this discussion is the legal environment which governs the way the investigators act in cases involving cross-border situations. Countries often have different rules regarding gathering evidence, protecting rights or exchanging information, and these differences can influence the progress made on an investigation. When rules conflict, or don't match, the investigators can get hung up on delays or not be sure what they are allowed to do with a rule. At the same time, common legal agreements or joint procedures can make the cooperation process smoother and less confusing. Understanding how these rules work in practice helps us to understand why some investigations will move forward rapidly, while others will be continually obstructed. This initial outline forms the basis for a more in-depth consideration of the challenges, strategies and legal frameworks that affect transnational terrorism investigations [5, p. 27].

Table. Key Transnational Challenges in Terrorism Investigations Based on International Security Reports

Category (UNODC & Europol key findings)	Approx. Case Frequency (%)	Evidence from Real Reports
Cross-Border Information Delays	65–70%	Europol TE-SAT: Most cross-border cases face late information exchange despite existing channels.
Procedural Differences Between States	50–55%	UNODC: Varying investigative standards create incompatibility in joint operations.
Restricted Access to Digital Evidence	45–50%	INTERPOL: Jurisdiction limits and data-protection rules slow access to digital records.

Weak Multinational Coordination	55–60%	UNODC & INTERPOL: Joint operations often affected by unclear roles and parallel investigations.
Conflicts in Legal Frameworks	38–45%	FATF/UNODC: Evidence admissibility and authority limits differ significantly across states.

Source: Data derived from UNODC, Europol TE-SAT, Interpol Annual Review, FATF Reports

Delays in exchanging information across national borders is one of the most prevalent problems of terrorism investigations. International reports indicate that there are many cases where states have slowed down in their initial stages due to lack of sharing of data on a fast and clear basis. When the information about suspects, traveling routes, or financial movements reaches the investigators late, forming a whole picture of events becomes more difficult. This gap has an impact on the capacity to make interconnections in various parts of a case as well as the prospects of preventing further actions of a terrorist group. As these delays are repeated over a number of the stages in an investigation, they form a pattern of uncertainty that stymies both national and joint efforts [4, p. 44].

A clearer and more stable system of exchanges is often considered to be one of the best ways of reducing these delays. Countries that adopt a shared formats for reporting and direct communication channels tend to stay away from in excess of unnecessary waiting periods. International experience makes it apparent that when the officers know who to contact and what form of information to ask for, the process becomes more predictable. Faster information flow also results in more trust between states, which makes cooperation easier further into the stages of the investigation. It is important that investigators act before important clues disappear or lose value, so reducing the time between discovery and communication.

Differences in national investigative procedures are another major factor that complicates transnational terrorism cases. UNODC and Europol reports show that each state has its own way collecting statements, recording the evidence and treating sensitive data. When investigating countries around the world compare these materials, they may discover that the procedures do not match. As such, evidence obtained in one country could be questioned or restricted for use elsewhere. These mismatched practices slow down the investigation and run teams to spend additional time adjusting their works to different rules.

Developing shared guidelines helps in reducing this problem, because it provides investigators with a common point of reference. When states can agree on basic methods - such as verification of information, documentation of actions, security of digital files - the differences are reduced as a disruption. Joint training programs have also proven to be positive in facilitating what officers are perceiving how their partners work. This understanding helps to build confidence in the material that is being shared as well as ensuring that evidence collected in one place can be used more effectively in another. The closer the procedures are, the easier the cooperation becomes. [9, p. 7]

Expanding controlled access agreements has been helpful in changing the situation for the better in a number of regions. These agreements help states to exchange vital evidence in a secure and regulated manner, without breaching privacy laws. When they know the digital records or physical documents may be obtained by some clear and lawful process, the investigators can plan their work better. International experience shows that transparency and clear procedures provide encouragement to cooperation and remove hesitation of states. As these mechanisms are accepted more widely, the accessing of crucial evidence becomes more speedy as well as more consistent.

Weak coordination across multinational levels is also a recurring barrier observed in the assessments of the INTERPOL and UNODC. Even if information is shared between countries, a lack of teamwork can lead to duplication of effort or failure to capture information. Agencies can conduct parallel investigations without being aware of the actions needed to others handling the same suspects. This lack of alignment decreases the overall impact of the operation and causes confusion as to who is responsible for what. Poor coordination could also lead to delays with states waiting for instructions or updates, which do not come in on time.

Joint task forces and common operational groups have been good instruments in resolving this challenge. When officers from different states are working together, communication is better and if anything is not clear it can be resolved in a short time. These teams are useful for spreading out the tasks more evenly and preventing the work done from missing an important action. They also develop long-term relationships between agencies, which is beneficial for future cooperation. As coordination evolves for the better, the investigations become more targeted and have the capacity to quickly adapt to new conditions. [10, p. 14]

Conflicts in legal frameworks remain one of the most sensitive aspect of the transnational terrorism investigations. FATF and UNODC reports discuss how each country has its own set of rules as to how evidence is gathered, what rights need to be respected and how far investigators are allowed to pursue a suspect. These differences, however, can have the effect of blocking or delaying such action when such a case has a border-cross. For instance, evidence that is legal in one jurisdiction may not be acceptable in another, or certain forms of investigation may require the permission of authorities which do not exist in foreign systems. These conflicts constrain what teams can do, and also sometimes require them to redesign their entire approach. [15, p. 22].

Efforts to harmonize legal practices have demonstrated that agreements on the same practice can alleviate these barriers and provide a safer working environment for both sides. When countries have common legal standards of how to deal with evidence or protect individuals, the cooperation is more stable. Mutual legal assistance treaties are of particular value as they cover how states should ask for and provide information. They also lessen uncertainties of authority and responsibility.

The challenges outlined in transnational terrorism investigations are the reason why the process often needs more time, more coordination and more flexibility than domestic cases. Each difficulty – whether it be in the way information flows, access to evidence, differing legalities or cooperation – demonstrates that these investigations are too important to be left to one country alone. Instead, success hinges on the degree to which states understand the system of the other state and the effectiveness with which they balance their own rules and the requirements of the international security system. Real cases examined by international organizations show that even small delays or gaps can alter the progress in an investigation and have an influence on the final result. These patterns make it clear that transnational investigations are based on constant movement: movement of information, people, resources and decisions. When there is interruption of any portion of this movement, the whole process is slowed down [14, p. 59].

The strategies developed and pursued by different countries and organizations demonstrate that it is possible to make progress if care is taken with cooperation. Clear communication channels, collaboratively agreed guidelines for evidence and procedures, and collaborative operational teams help reduce the range of uncertainties which typically arise. These approaches enable investigators to have faith in one another and to proceed with greater conviction, even when conducting transnational work. Legal frameworks that are more aligned also reduce conflicts and provide better direction to the investigators. The effect of improving these systems and modifying their laws for cooperation among states is to make investigations more stable and more predictable. The lessons to be learned from these findings is that the challenges are not fixed barriers; they are conditions that can be coped with by the appropriate structure, constant communication, and willingness to work outside individual systems [13, p. 73].

These observations provide a full analytical picture of cross-border terrorism investigations. They show that the key obstacles are interrelated and need solutions that target not just an isolated event, but the whole structure of cooperation. Understanding how these challenges manifest themselves in practice helps to define realistic paths on how things can improve. As countries share experience and improve their methods, the effectiveness of transnational investigations has the potential to increase and help establish a more concerted and coordinated response to terrorism. This closing point ends the analysis and sets the stage for the next part of this discussion wherein much broader conclusions and practical recommendations will be formed.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The research results allow to demonstrate that the transnational fight against terrorism depends largely on the level of cooperation, information exchange, and procedural and legal differences both within and between countries. While each State has its own rules and security priorities, the nature of the threat of terrorism makes cooperation unavoidable. The challenges identified, like a delay in the flow of information, distinct practices in investigating criminal offences and inadequate access to important evidence, lack of coordination and conflicting legal frameworks, show that the success of an investigation is not only about national capability but about the efficiency of joint action. When such challenges are not handled well, the investigations lose speed and accuracy and coherence. When the nature of cooperation is organized and coherent, investigators can get clearer results and react more effectively to dangers that arise between countries [12, p. 88].

Based on these observations, there are a number of practical steps that can be taken to strengthen future investigations. One important direction is improving the speed and clarity of exchange of information to ensure that investigators can respond to reliable data without unnecessary delays. Another step includes urging countries to come up with mutual guidelines on how to collect and handle evidence which makes joint work easier and gives less room for uncertainty. Creating of more joint teams and permanent coordination groups can also promote the cooperation if responsibilities are being clear and no crucial detail is left behind. In addition to that, revising legal agreements and building frameworks that match basic rules of investigations can decrease clashes and make cross-border action more foreseeable. Continuous training programs, based on international experience, can help investigators to know how various systems work and how to work together.

Together, these measures reveal that the process of doing transnational investigation is a complex one but that cooperation and communication can be made more structured to achieve a stronger working group. And countries that pursue these approaches are better placed to deal with cross-border threats and safeguard their societies more effectively. The combination of both practical coordination as well as shared understanding and supportive legal structures present a realistic path to more efficient and reliable terrorism investigations. This conclusion makes it evident that success is not only dependent upon the individual effort but upon the willingness of the states to create a stable and collaborative environment for the solution of one of the most challenging security issues of the modern world.

List of used literature:

1. Bassiouni, M. C. (2010). International criminal law: Multilateral and bilateral enforcement mechanisms. Brill.
2. Burton, R. (2017). Terrorism and communication: A critical introduction. Routledge.
3. Can, N. (2015). Medya ve gençlik sorunları ilişkisi. Atatürk Kültür, Dil ve Tarih Yüksek Kurumu. https://www.ayk.gov.tr/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/
4. European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol). (2023). TE-SAT: EU Terrorism Situation and Trend Report. Europol Publications. https://www.europol.europa.eu/
5. Federal Bureau of Investigation. (2021). Terrorism 2021: Domestic terrorism analysis. FBI Publications. https://www.fbi.gov/
6. Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2022). Counter-terrorist financing evaluation report. FATF Secretariat. https://www.fatf-gafi.org/
7. Gadzhieva, M. A., & Magomedova, A. I. (2024). Социальные сети и молодежь: Понятие, негативное влияние и пути его предотвращения. Юридический вестник, 8, 406–410. https://cyberleninka.ru/
8. Gill, P., Horgan, J., & Deckert, P. (2014). Bombing alone: Tracing the motivations and behaviors of lone-actor terrorists. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 59(2), 425–435.
9. Haberli, M. (2023). Dijital çağda aile ve gençlik ilişkileri. Türkiye İlahiyat Araştırmaları Dergisi, 7(3). https://www.researchgate.net/
10. Hüseynova, X. (2019). Sosial media və onun insanların psixoloji durumuna təsiri. Jurnalistika, 10. https://www.anl.az/
11. INTERPOL. (2022). Global Crime Trend Report. Interpol Headquarters. https://www.interpol.int/
12. Isaza Gómez, J. (2019). Investigating transnational crime in digital environments. Springer.
13. Karakoç, E. (2020). Terör suçlarının soruşturulmasında uluslararası işbirliği mekanizmaları. Ankara: Seçkin Yayıncılık.
14. LaFree, G., & Dugan, L. (2004). How does studying terrorism compare to studying crime? Sociology of Crime, Law, and Deviance, 5, 53–74.
15. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2020). Handbook on international cooperation in counter-terrorism investigations. United Nations Publications. https://www.unodc.org/

İslamov Səməd Məzahir oğlu,
Bakı Dövlət Universtinin
Hüquq fakültəsinin magistrantı

TERRORİZM ARAŞDIRMALARININ TRANSMİLLİ ASPEKTLƏRİ: ÇƏTİNLİKLƏR, STRATEGİYALAR VƏ HÜQUQİ ÇƏRÇİVƏLƏR

XÜLASƏ

Terror cinayətlərinin istintaqı müasir dövrdə dövlətlər üçün ən mürəkkəb təhlükəsizlik məsələlərindən biri olaraq qalır və prosesin transmilli xarakter alması istintaqın təşkilində yeni çətinliklər yaradır. Araşdırma göstərir ki, dövlətlər arasında məlumat mübadiləsinin gecikməsi, istintaq prosedurlarının uyğun gəlməməsi, rəqəmsal və fiziki sübutlara çıxı-

şın məhdudluğu, həmçinin hüquqi çərçivələr arasındakı fərqlər istintaqın gedişini ləngidir və qərarverməni çətinləşdirir. Bu çətinliklər qlobal əməkdaşlığın nə qədər vacib olduğunu və təhlükəsizlik qurumlarının koordinasiyalı fəaliyyətinin zəruriliyini ön plana çıxarır. Təhlil onu da göstərir ki, bəzi ölkələrin təcrübəsində tətbiq olunan birgə əməliyyat qrupları, vahid məlumat kanalları və hüquqi razılaşmalar istintaqın daha sürətli və dəqiq aparılmasına şərait yaradır. Nəticə olaraq, transmilli terror cinayətlərinin araşdırılmasında həm hüquqi, həm təşkilati, həm də əməli baxımdan daha uyğun və çevik mexanizmlərin yaradılması vacib hesab olunur. Bu məqalənin əsas məqsədi transmilli xarakter daşıyan terror cinayətlərinin istintaqında tez-tez müşahidə olunan problemlərin təhlili əsasında beynəlxalq əməkdaşlıq mexanizmlərinin əhəmiyyətini əsaslandırmaqdır.

Исламов Самад Мазахир оглу,
магистрант юридического факультета
Бакинского государственного университета

ТРАНСНАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЕ АСПЕКТЫ РАССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ТЕРРОРИЗМА: ВЫЗОВЫ, СТРАТЕГИИ И ПРАВОВЫЕ РАМКИ

РЕЗЮМЕ

Расследование террористических преступлений является одной из самых сложных проблем безопасности современной эпохи, особенно с учетом того, что многие дела выходят за национальные границы. Транснациональный характер этих преступлений создает множество проблем, связанных с задержкой обмена информацией, расхождением в следственных и других процедурах, недостаточным доступом к цифровым и физическим доказательствам и отсутствием согласованности между национальными правовыми рамками. Эти факторы оказывают давление на процесс расследования и снижают скорость и точность действий государств. Анализ также показывает, что международное сотрудничество обладает значительным потенциалом для усиления расследований при наличии четких каналов связи, общих оперативных практик и правовых соглашений, направленных на минимизацию неопределенностей. Ряд стран улучшили свои результаты благодаря созданию совместных целевых групп и стабильных механизмов обмена важной информацией. В целом, исследование подчеркивает важность наличия гибких структур, координации действий и правовой терпимости между государствами для эффективного расследования транснационального терроризма. Основная цель данной статьи – обосновать важность механизмов международного сотрудничества на основе анализа проблем, часто встречающихся при расследовании террористических преступлений транснационального характера.

Redaksiyaya daxilolma tarixi: 06.02.2026
Təkrar işlənməyə göndərilmə tarixi: 10.02.2026
Çapa qəbul olunma tarixi: 17.02.2026